OPRA Board Retreat 2012 Evaluation

Average	Ratino

(4 Point Scale) Session/Component and Evaluation Summary*

3.5 Overall Retreat

Over half of the respondents rated the overall retreat as excellent. The overall retreat was rated higher than any single component of the retreat.

Sessions

2.6 **Icebreaker**

70% of respondents rated the icebreaker as excellent or good, 20% as poor. One respondent recommended skipping the icebreaker. The icebreaker had the lowest average rating.

3.4 Environmental Scan

The environmental scan session received the highest rating, with 50% of respondents rating it as excellent. It was tied for the highest average rating.

3.2 Strategic Plan Updates

100% of respondents rated the strategic plan updates as excellent or good. The two strategic plan sesions were the only sessions not receiving a fair or poor rating.

3.4 Strategic Plan Revision

The two strategic plan sesions were the only sessions <u>not</u> receiving a fair or poor rating. 100% of respondents said their input was given due consideration in setting the direction of OPRA. The strategic plan revision session was tied for the highest average rating.

2.9 Communication Plan

80% of respondents rated the communication plan session as excellent or good, none as poor.

3.1 Membership and Dues

90% of respondents rated the membership and dues session as excellent or good, none as poor.

3.3 Conferences and Trainings

90% of respondents rated the conferences and trainings session as excellent or good, none as poor.

3.2 Governance

90% of respondents rated the governance session as excellent or good, none as poor.

Components

3.3 Location

82% of respondents rated the location as excellent or good, 9% as poor. One respondent recommended that the next retreat be held in Columbus for proximity's sake.

3.2 Meeting Room

81% of respondents rated the meeting room as excellent or good, 9% as poor.

3.3 Guest Room

89% of respondents rated their guest room as excellent or good, none as poor.

3.2 **Foo**

70% of respondents rated the food as excellent or good, none as poor.

3.9 Board Dinner at Janice's House

100% of respondents rated the board dinner at Janice's house as excellent or good.

3.4 Facilitator

82% of respondents rated the facilitator as excellent or good, 9% as poor. Although ratings were good, comments on the facilitator were mixed.

^{*}Note: for summary purposes, all percentages of respondents are based on total responses minus the "n/a" responses.